FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101, 3.104, AND 42.1503

	1010	<i>7</i> 1 1 10	AL USL ONLI / SOUR	CL SL	LECTION IN ORMA	11014 -	JLL I AN 2.10	1, 3.1	V4, AIN	J 42.1303	
			PERFORMAN	CE E	E EVALUATION					1. CONTRACT NUMBER W912P912C0418	
TNC	OMPLETE-RATED		(CONST	RUC	ΓΙΟΝ)					<b>2. CEC NUMBER</b> 945067569	
1110			PRTANT: Be sure to com	nplete l	te Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.						
				<u> </u>						•	
PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA  3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one)  4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT											
				Х	— — H			Ė			
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code)						6.a. PROCUREMENT METH			THOD	(X one)	
C & M CONTRACTORS, INC. HC 6 BOX 286											
DONIPHAN									EGOTIATED		
MO 63935-901 USA						b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one			COST REIMBURSEMENT		
NAICS Code: 237990						OTHER (Specify)				COST KEIMBOKSEMENT	
	SCRIPTION AND LO					1		.c,			
Winfield-Pin Oaks PL84-99 2011 Flood Recovery Levee Repairs											
The work consists of levee repairs for the Winfield-Pin Oak Drainage and Levee District in Winfield, MO and includes stripping, topsize riprap, crushed stone bedding,											
establishment of turf, excavation and embankment.											
Winfield, MO											
8 TV	8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING										
-	subcontracte		BOOKITKAOTINO								
		T <sub>a</sub>	AMOUNT OF BASIC	T <sub>h</sub>	. TOTAL AMOUNT C		c. LIQUIDATI	ED		d. NET AMOUNT PAID	
9. FISCAL DATA			CONTRACT \$285,752		MODIFICATIONS \$55,380		DAMAGES		SESSE	CONTRACTOR	
										\$332,806	
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES		a.	a. DATE OF AWARD		b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 12/06/2012		l l		_	-	
		▶	09/21/2012						DAIL	ACCEPTED 11/26/2012	
PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR											
			PART II - PERF	ORM	ANCE EVALUAT	ION	OF CONTRA	ACT	OR		
11. C	VERALL RATING (X	appro	ppriate block)		-		1	_			
Х	OUTSTANDING		ABOVE AVERAGE		SATISFACTORY		MARGINAL			JNSATISFACTORY (Explain	
40.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\								/	in Item 20 on reverse)	
	VALUATED BY	ne and	d Address (Include 7IP (	Code))				h T	FI FPH	ONE NUMBER (Include Area	
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code					"				Code)		
U.S.	ARMY CORPS OF E	NGINE	CERS - OFFICE CENT	RAL A	REA OFFICE			6	36-899	9-0062	
c. NAME AND TITLE ROBERT SCHIFFER				<pre>d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//</pre>					e. DATE		
	ENGINEER				Biccionically	bigne	,			01/03/2013	
40	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY  a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code))  b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area											
a. C	RGANIZATION (IVal	ne and	T Address (Include ZIF C	J00 <del>0</del> ))					Code)	ONE NOMBER (INClude Area	
c. NAME AND TITLE				Ы	d. SIGNATURE					e. DATE	
				"						J. 5	
14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)											

## PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY 15. QUALITY CONTROL N/A 0 Α S М U 16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT N/A 0 Α S М U a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS Χ Χ Χ Χ b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ **PERSONNEL** c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC Χ **PLAN** Χ c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S) d. QUALITY OF QC Χ **DOCUMENTATION** d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP Χ e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS Χ e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE Χ SUPERVISION f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS Χ g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS Χ f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND Χ REGULATIONS h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING Χ Χ Χ i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS Χ h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF Χ SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF Χ **DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY** Χ i. IMPLEMENTATION OF **MANNER** SUBCONTRACTING PLAN 17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE 18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS **STANDARDS** Χ SCHEDULE a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES Χ b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED Χ Χ **SCHEDULE** AND SUBMITTED c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS Χ c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS Χ AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED Х ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON **DOCUMENTATION** ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS 19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST Х **ITEMS STANDARDS** f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND Χ a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN Χ **REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES** b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN Χ

20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES

Small Business Utilization

g. WARRANTY RESPONSE

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

EVALUATOR REMARKS: Comments entered by Dave Moore:

Χ

Outstanding comments: Inspections and tests indicated that all work was performed in accordance with plans and specs without prompting rework or government involvement. Conducted 3 phase inspections according to contract specs with no government prompting resulting in a final product without any deficiencies. Properly stored materials protecting materials from the weather. Conducted QC testing without being reminded by the government. Maintained current and accurate as-builts that were available to the government to use to inspect ongoing work. All materials were in accordance with the contract. Daily follow up inspection allowed the contractor to identify any deficient work and make corrections in a timely manner. Contractor was eager to learn from the government the administrative process of QCS and Submittals. Contractor managed his own work and subcontractors work so there would be no delays and supervisors had clear lines of authority and responsibility. Contractor communicated with the landowner in order to resolve a dispute between the levee district and landowner over right of ways. The contractor's actions preventive a delay to the work that would have resulted in increased cost to the government and levee district. Contractor maintained a great working relationship with subcontractor to prevent any differences or conflicts. Contractor performed the work within the original submitted progress schedule, all features of work completed ahead of approved schedule, and before contract completion date.

CONCURRENCE: I concur with this evaluation.

## FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101, 3.104, AND 42.1503

20. REMARKS (continued)
CONTRACTOR NAME: MELINDA VAUGHN
TITLE: PRESIDENT
PHONE: 573-996-3113
110112 373 330 3113